# C-0017-17-A 92ND DISTRICT COURT, HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

## CITATION

### STATE OF TEXAS

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty (20) days after you were served with this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you.

PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY BY SERVING REGISTERED AGENT, CT CORPORATION SYSTEM 1999 BRYAN ST STE 900 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201-3140

You are hereby commanded to appear by filing a written answer to the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION on or before 10:00 o'clock a.m. on the Monday next after the expiration of twenty (20) days after the date of service hereof, before the Honorable Luis M. Singleterry, 92nd District Court of Hidalgo County, Texas at the Courthouse at 100 North Closner, Edinburg, Texas 78539.

Said petition was filed on this the 3rd day of January, 2017 and a copy of same accompanies this citation. The file number and style of said suit being C-0017-17-A, HECTOR YADO VS. PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE CO

Said Petition was filed in said court by THOMAS G. RAYFIELD, 1300 N 10TH ST STE 300 MCALLEN TX 78501-4392.

The nature of the demand is fully shown by a true and correct copy of the petition accompanying this citation and made a part hereof.

The officer executing this writ shall promptly serve the same according to requirements of law, and the mandates thereof, and make due return as the law directs.

ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court at Edinburg, Texas on this the 31st day of January, 2017.

LAURA HINOJOSA, DISTRICT CLERK 100 N. CLOSNER, EDINBURG, TEXAS HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

MONICA VALDEZ, DEPUTY CLERK

EXHIBIT B

Entre : A Correct Constant (Constant Constant Co

# C-0017-17-A OFFICER'S RETURN

Came to hand on of	•	, 201	l at	o'clock	m. and		
executed in							
Defendant in person, a tru-							
delivery to said Defenden	dant togeth	er with	the accompan	ying copy	of the		
Secretaria de la constitución de	(pe	tition) at th	e following time	es and place	s, to-wit:		
				1000 1000			
NAME	DATE	TIME	PLACE				
And not executed as to the diligence used in finding scause of failure to execute	said defenda	nt, being: . cess is: _	La participa de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la compan		and the and the		
information received as							
comics of this sitetim in the							
service of this citation, in ad of other process in the same				raveled in t	ne service		
Fees: serving copy(s) \$_ miles\$_							
DEPUTY							
COMPLETE IF Y	OU ARE PI	ERSON OF	THER THAN A	SHERIFF	7		
			F THE COURT		,		
In accordance to Rule 107,					ttempts to		
serve a citation must sign t							
sheriff, constable or the cler	k of the cour	t, the return	must either be	verified or	be signed		
sheriff, constable or the clerk of the court, the return must either be verified or be signed under the penalty of perjury. A return signed under penalty of perjury must contain the							
statement below in substanti				,	100 A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		
	17.						
"My name is			, my				
and the					,and I		
declare under penalty of perj	ury that the f	oregoing is	true and correct	t.			
EXECUTED inC	ounty State	of Texas	on the de	av of			
	ountj, otuto	or rondo, t	on the de	.y 01			
D. 1							
Declarant"							
If Certified by the Supreme	e Court of T	exas					
Date of Expiration / SCH N							

## CAUSE NO. C-0017-17-A

HECTOR YADO	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
V.	8	92 <sup>nd</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT
PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE CO	8	HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

## DEFENDANT'S DEMAND FOR JURY

COMES NOW, PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendant in the above-referenced cause, and demands a trial by jury and hereby deposits its Forty Dollar (\$40) jury fee.

Respectfully submitted,

Gault, Nye & Quintana, L.L.P. P.O. Box 6666 Corpus Christi, Texas 78466 (361) 654-7008 (361) 654-7001 Telecopier Inve@gnglawyers.com

y: / hwm\_ 7

State Bar No. 15154025

William Gault
State Bar No. 07765050
Gault, Nye & Quintana, L.L.P.
P.O. Box 5959
Brownsville, Texas 78523
(956) 544-7110
(956) 544-0607 Telecopier
bgault@gqqlawyers.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Thomas F. Nye, hereby certify that on the  $\sqrt{o^{r_c}}$  day of March, 2017, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served upon the following counsel as indicated:

Attorneys for Plaintiff Federick J. Castro Thomas G. Rayfield

Email: fredjeastro@gmail.com

travfield@sbcglobal.net

VIA E-FILING

Thomas F. Nye

# Case 7:17-cv-00083 Document 1-2 Filed in TXSD on 03/16/17 Page 5 of 27

# CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET

CAUSE NUMBER (FOR CLERK USE ONLY): C-0017-17-A

Count	(FOR CLERK USE ONLY):	
COURT	LPOR CLERK USE UNLYI:	

STYLED HECTOR YADO, PLAINTIFF, vs. PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, DEFENDANT (e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co; In re Mary Ann Jones; In the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson)

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filed in a family law case. The information should be the best available at the time of filing. This sheet, approved by the Texas Judicial Council, is intended to collect information that will be used for statistical purposes only. It neither replaces nor supplements the filings or service of pleading or other documents as required by law or rule. The sheet does not constitute a discovery request, response, or supplementation, and it is not admissible at trial.

1. Contact information for pers	on completing cas	se information sh	icet:	Names of parties in o	case:	MANAGEM :	Person	or entity completing sheet is:	
Name; Email; Frederick J. Castro FREDJCASTRO@GMAIL.COM_ Address:		Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s): HECTOR YADO			☐ Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner☐ Pro Se Plaintiff/Petitioner☐ Title IV-D Agency☐ Other:				
1300 North 10 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300 Telephone: 956.562.1570				-	-		Additions	al Parties in Child Support Case:	
City/State/Zip: McAllen, TX 78501	Fax: 877.876.93	91		Defendant(s)/Respondent(s): PALOMAR SPECIALTY			Custodial Parent:		
Section 1	_	Win		INSURANCE COMPANY			Non-Custodial Parent:		
Frederick J. Cast	State Bar N 24045628	lo:		[Attach additional page as necessary to list all parties]		ali parties[	Presumed Father:		
2. Indicate case type, or identify	COURT OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO	THE RESERVE ASSESSMENT OF THE PERSON OF THE	ase (selec	t only 1):	STANDARDS	<b>艾尔特代/第</b>	-	PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS	
Contained Seventador a serie of	Civi	<u>il</u>	All and the second				Family Law		
Contract	Injury or	Damage		Real Property	Marri	Marriage Relationship		Post-judgment Actions (non-Title IV-D)	
Debt/Contract  Consumer/DTPA Debt/Contract Equivalent	Assault/Batter Construction Defamation	У	□Emi Con □Part	inent Domain/ ndemnation tition	☐Annulment ☐Declare Marriage '		e Void	☐ Enforcement ☐ Modification—Custody ☐ Modification—Other	
☐ Fraud/Misrepresentation ☐ Other Debt/Contract:	Malpractice  Accounting	.		et Title spass to Try Title		☐With Children ☐No Children		Title IV-D	
Foreclosure  Home Equity—Expedited Other Foreclosure	Foreclosure			er Property:		o Cimarca		☐Enforcement/Modification ☐Paternity ☐Reciprocals (UIFSA) ☐Support Order	
Franchise	19000000000000000000000000000000000000		Re	lated to Criminal	Day of the				
☐Insurance ☐Landlord/Tenant	☐Motor Vehicle ☐Premises	Accident	ΠExp	Matters unction		er Family I orce Foreign		Parent-Child Relationship  Adoption/Adoption with	
Non-Competition Partnership Other Contract:	Product Liability  Asbestos/Sil  Other Produ	Product Liability  Asbestos/Silica Other Product Liability	☐Judg ☐Non ☐Seiz ☐Writ	☐ Judgment Nisi ☐ Non-Disclosure ☐ Seizure/Forfeiture ☐ Writ of Habeas Corpus—		Judgment  Habeas Corpus  Name Change  Protective Order		Termination    Child Protection    Child Support    Custody or Visitation	
	Other Injury or Damage:		Pre-indictment Other:		Removal of Disabilities of Minority Other:		bilities	Gestational Parenting Grandparent Access Parentage/Paternity Termination of Parental	
Employment Employment	January and January			ks/mpanes/disposition	_			Rights	
Discrimination Retaliation Termination Workers' Compensation Other Employment:	Administrativ Antitrust/Unfi Competition Code Violatio Foreign Judgr	fair ons ment	□ Perp □ Secu □ Torti	ryer Discipline setuate Testimony urities/Stock ious Interference				Other Parent-Child:	
Tax				Probate & Mi	ental Hea	lth		1 111	
☐Tax Appraisal ☐Tax Delinquency ☐Other Tax	Probate & Mental Health  Probate/Wills/Intestate Administration Dependent Administration Dindependent Administration Dother Estate Proceedings  Probate & Mental Health Guardianship—Adult Guardianship—Minor Mental Health Other:				7/3/17				
3. Indicate procedure or remedy				<b>这</b> 位的方式和原理相对	6月17年長齢		Plant La	Me My expectation	
Appeal from Municipal or Just  Arbitration-related	tice Court	☐ Declarato ☐ Garnishm		nent		☐Prejudge ☐Protectiv		edy	
Attachment		☐ Interplead			- 1	Receiver		´ \	
☐Bill of Review ☐License ☐Mandamus				1	Sequestr		ining Order/Injunction		
□ Class Action □ Post-judgment					Turnove		ning Orderinjunction		

#### CAUSE NO. C-0017-17-A

HECTOR YADO	Š	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
V.	8	92 <sup>nd</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT
PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE CO	8	HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

# DEFENDANT PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendant in the above-styled and numbered cause, and makes and files this, its original answer in reply to Plaintiff's Petition, and for such answer would respectfully show unto the Court the following:

1.

Pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant generally denies the allegations contained within Plaintiff's Petition and demand strict proof thereon by a preponderance of the credible evidence in accordance with the laws of the State of Texas.

2.

Pleading further and without waiver of the above. Defendant denies the occurrence of all conditions precedent to Plaintiff's claim. Without limiting the foregoing, Defendant denies that there has been full compliance with all terms and conditions of the insurance policy at issue as required prior to Plaintiff bringing suit, including, but not limited to, the requirement that payment is only due after agreement is reached on the amount of loss or an appraisal award has been made.

3.

Pleading further and without waiver of the above, Defendant requests that this Court compel mediation pursuant to Texas Insurance Code § 541.161(a). This mediation is required

under the Texas Insurance Code and Texas Business & Commerce Code. Tex. Ins. CODE § 541.161(b).

4.

Additionally, the insurance policy pertaining to the claims asserted by the Plaintiff includes a specific "Appraisal" clause which sets forth the procedure in the event of a disagreement of the amount of the loss. Specifically, the policy requires that, upon written request, the property must be appraised by each party's own independent appraiser. In the event of a disagreement in the appraisal, the differences are submitted to an umpire for determination. Defendant insurance company was potentially deprived of the opportunity to invoke the appraisal clause prior to suit. Further, the lawsuit potentially prevented Defendant insurance company from attempting to resolve the alleged problems, reaching an impasse or invoking the appraisal clause, if necessary. Defendant insurance company reserves the contractual right to invoke this clause and that the property be appraised according to the terms of the applicable insurance policy if the parties reach an impasse. Defendant insurance company has not waived and is not waiving this provision and may assert it in the future.

5.

Pursuant to Rule 193.7 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant hereby gives actual notice to Plaintiff that any and all documents and materials produced in response to written discovery may be used as evidence in this case; and, that any such materials may be used as evidence against the party producing the document at any pretrial proceeding and/or at the trial of this matter without the necessity of authenticating the document and/or materials produced in discovery.

YADO, HECTOR (PSIC - DIOA - PSIC - PAGE 2 OF 4

6.

## Requests for Disclosure

At the time required by law or the Rules, this Defendant requests the Plaintiff to respond to all of the matters in TRCP 194.2.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED. Defendant prays that upon final hearing hereof, that Plaintiff not recover against Defendant and that Defendant goes hence with its costs without day and for such other and further relief, at law or in equity, to which Defendant may justly show itself entitled to receive.

Respectfully submitted,

Gault, Nye & Quintana, L.L.P. P.O. Box 6666 Corpus Christi, Texas 78466 (361) 654-7008 (361) 654-7001 Telecopier tnye@gnglawyers.com

By

Thomas F. Nye

State Bar No. 15154025

William Gault State Bar No. 07765050 Gault, Nye & Quintana, L.L.P. P.O. Box 5959 Brownsville, Texas 78523 (956) 544-7110 (956) 544-0607 Telecopier bgault@gnglawvers.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1. Thomas F. Nye, hereby certify that on the  $10^{15}$  day of March, 2017, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served upon the following counsel as indicated:

Attorney for Plaintiff
Federick J. Castro
Thomas G. Rayfield
Email: fredjcastro@gmail.com

travfield@sbcglobal.net

VIA E-FILING

Thomas F. Nye

Cause No	C-0017-17-A	×
HECTOR YADO	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
PLAINTIFF	8	
VS.	900	JUDICIAL DISTRICT
PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE	ECO §	10 2 2
DEFENDANT	§	HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

## PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES, HECTOR YADO, PLAINTIFF, and files this, her Plaintiff's Original Petition against DEFENDANT PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY and for cause of action would respectfully show the Court the following:

## I. Discovery & Amount Claimed

 Pursuant to rule 190 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, PLAINTIFF intends to conduct discovery under Level 2. Pursuant to T.R.C.P., Rule 47, PLAINTIFF is seeking monitory relief not more than \$100,000.00. For purposes of determing jurisdiction in the Federal Courts, Plaintiffs hereby stipulate that the amount in controversy is less than \$74,999.00.

## II. Parties and Service

- 2. PLAINTIFF HECTOR YADO (hereinafter referred to as ("PLAINTIFF"), is an individual residing in Hidalgo County, Texas.
- 3. PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY (hereinafter referred to as "DEFENDANT"), may be cited with process by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested, by serving its registered agent of service, C T Corporation System, 1999 Bryan St., Ste. 900, Dallas TX 75201-3140. Plaintiff requests that the district clerk's office prepare

#### C-0017-17-A

citation for service by private process server.

#### III. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 4. Venue of this action is proper in Hidalgo County, Texas because the policy at issue was issued and delivered in Hidalgo County, Texas, because the property insured is situated in Hidalgo County, Texas, because PLAINTIFF'S losses occurred in Hidalgo County, Texas, and because all or part of the events made the basis of this lawsuit and giving rise to PLAINTIFF'S claims and causes of action occurred in Hidalgo County, Texas.
- 5. Jurisdiction is proper in this state as the DEFENDANT is conducting business in the State of Texas. The insurance business done by the DEFENDANT in Texas includes, but is not limited to, the following:
  - A. The making and issuing of contracts of insurance with the PLAINTIFF;
  - B. The taking or receiving of application for insurance, including the PLAINTIFF applications for insurance;
  - C. The receiving or collection of premiums, commissions, membership fees, assessments, dues or other consideration for any insurance or any part thereof, including any such consideration or payments from the PLAINTIFF; and
  - D. The issuance or delivery of contracts of insurance to residents of this state or a person authorized to do business in this state, including the PLAINTIFF.
  - E. The investigation and or adjustment of insurance claims within the state of Texas.

### C-0017-17-A

## IV. Facts

- 6. PLAINTIFF purchased an insurance policy from the Defendant, insuring his home. DEFENDANT provided coverage to the PLAINTIFF for such dwelling, personal property, and other matters under the Insurance Policy. DEFENDANT and/or their agents committed the actions alleged against PLAINTIFF in this complaint.
- 7. On or about October 24, 2015, at the Plaintiff's home at 1915 Hollow Rd., Weslaco, Texas, PLAINTIFF sustained covered losses in the form of wind, hailstorm, and water damages resulting there from openings created by those perils, including damage to the architectural finishes of the property. As a result, of the covered events PLAINTIFF'S property sustained damage, including the cost of destruction and restoration of the property necessary to access and fix the damaged areas.
- 8. The damages sustained by PLAINTIFF'S home are covered damages under the insurance policy. Although the PLAINTIFF requested payment from the DEFENDANT for claims covered by the policy, the DEFENDANT has wrongfully refused to pay those claims, for which the PLAINTIFF now sues.

## V. Damages

9. PLAINTIFF has been damaged in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court, including injuries sustained as a result of having to live in a damaged home during the pendency of DEFENDANT'S claims decision.

## VI. Conditions Precedent

10. All notices and proofs of loss were timely and properly given to DEFENDANT in such manner as to fully comply with the terms and conditions of the relevant insurance policies or other contracts and applicable law. PLAINTIFF provided written demand

#### C-0017-17-A

more than 60 days prior to filing suit, pursuant to Texas Business & Commerce Code, Section 17.505(a), and Texas Insurance Code, Section 541.154.

11. All of the conditions precedents to bring this suit under the insurance policy, and applicable statutory regimes have occurred.

## VII. Breach of Contract

12. PLAINTIFF purchased an insurance policy with DEFENDANT. PLAINTIFF'S property was damaged by windstorm and hailstorm created openings through which water damage resulted. These damages are covered under the insurance policy. DEFENDANT has denied and/or delayed payment of PLAINTIFF'S covered claims. DEFENDANT has no reasonable basis for denying, delaying, or failing to pay PLAINTIFF'S claims for damages. DEFENDANT knew or should have known that there was no such reasonable basis to deny, delay, and fail to pay such claims. The conduct of DEFENDANT was irresponsible, and unconscionable. DEFENDANT took advantage of the PLAINTIFF'S lack of sophistication in insurance and construction matters to a grossly unfair degree. DEFENDANT has, by its conduct, breached its contract with the PLAINTIFF. The conduct of DEFENDANT has proximately caused the injuries and damages to the PLAINTIFF.

## VIII. DTPA Violations

- 13. PLAINTIFF is a consumer entitled to relief under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices—Consumer Protection Act ("DTPA"). By their conduct outlined above, DEFENDANT has engaged in the following violations of the DTPA which, together and separately, has been a producing cause of PLAINTIFF'S damages:
- (a) DEFENDANT made false representations about PLAINTIFF'S rights, remedies

## C-0017-17-A

- and obligations under the policies at issue. These statements were a misrepresentation of the insurance policies and their benefits in violation of §§17.46(b)(5), (7), (12) and (14), Texas Business & Commerce Code;
- (b) DEFENDANT actions constitute an unconscionable course of conduct entitling PLAINTIFF to relief under §17.50(a)(1), (2), (3), and (4) of the Texas Business & Commerce Code;
- (c) DEFENDANT failed to disclose information to PLAINTIFF concerning the nature and extent of their insurance policy which was known by DEFENDANT at the time for the purpose of inducing PLAINTIFF into transactions which they would not have otherwise entered in violation of section 17.46(b)(9) and (23), Texas Business and Commerce Code;
- (d) The DEFENDANT breached express warranties to the PLAINTIFF regarding insurance coverage and payment of claims. The DEFENDANT'S breach of the express warranties are actionable under DTPA §17.50(a)(2).
- (e) As described above, DEFENDANT violated Chapter 541, Texas Insurance Code, entitling PLAINTIFF to relief under section 17.50(a)(4), Texas Business and Commerce Code.
- 14. DEFENDANT took advantage of PLAINTIFF'S lack of knowledge in construction and insurance claims processes; misrepresented losses covered under the insurance policy, and failed to disclose pertinent information regarding damages to the PLAINTIFF'S property. DEFENDANT's conduct as described herein was a producing cause of damages to PLAINTIFF for which he sues. The conduct of DEFENDANT was more than just a mistake and was done "knowingly" and/or "intentionally" as that term is

#### C-0017-17-A

derived by statue. Because of their conduct DEFENDANT may be subject to liability for additional damages under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act. PLAINTIFF seeks an award of additional damages under the DTPA in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic damages.

## IX. Unfair Insurance Practices

- 15. DEFENDANT failed to inform PLAINTIFF of material facts such as the true scope of damage, terms of the policy, and cost to repair. DEFENDANT failed to properly process claims and have misrepresented material facts to the PLAINTIFF. DEFENDANT has failed to address all damage to the property and its contents causing further damage to the PLAINTIFF.
- 16. Further, DEFENDANT intentionally failed to fully investigate the loss; failed to properly convey all information to PLAINTIFF; and has intentionally ignored damages to the dwelling. PLAINTIFF'S property suffered from covered losses and damages of which DEFENDANT are fully aware. DEFENDANT concealed damage known by them to exist. DEFENDANT knows about covered windstorm and water damages but have failed to perform proper testing and concealed facts from PLAINTIFF about the damages, such as the amount of and the extent of covered losses, preferring instead to pay a small portion of loss, and to deny the rest. PLAINTIFF attempted to dispute these charges on her own, but was forced to hire her attorneys when DEFENDANT failed to respond.
- 17. By their conduct outlined above, DEFENDANT committed unfair practices in the business of insurance prohibited by Chapter 541, Texas Insurance Code, and the

## C-0017-17-A

statutes, rules and regulations incorporated therein. DEFENDANT committed the following acts in violation of Texas Insurance Code and Texas Administrative Code:

- (1) DEFENDANT failed to, with good faith, effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the PLAINTIFF'S claims once liability becomes reasonably clear (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(2)(A); Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 542.003(b)(4); 28 TAC section 21.203(4));
- (2) DEFENDANT failed to provide promptly to PLAINTIFF'S a reasonable explanation of the basis in the policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for denial of the claim or for the offer of a compromise settlement of the claim (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(3); 28 TAC section 21.203(9));
- (3) DEFENDANT refused to pay a claim without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to that claim (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(7); TAC section 21.203(15));
- (4) DEFENDANT breached its duty of good faith and fair dealing at common law;
- (5) DEFENDANT failed within a reasonable time to affirm or deny coverage of a claim to a policyholder (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(4)(A); 28 TAC section 21.203(10));
- (6) DEFENDANT failed to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation of claims arising under the insurer's policies (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 542. 003(b)(3); 28 TAC section 21.203(3));
- (7) DEFENDANT compelled PLAINTIFF to institute a suit to recover an amount due under a policy by offering substantially less than the amount ultimately recovered in a suit brought by the policyholder (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 542.003(b)(5); 28 TAC

### C-0017-17-A

section 21.203(6);

- (8) DEFENDANT violated the Prompt Payment of Claims Statute (28 TAC section 21.203(18));
- (9) DEFENDANT undertook to enforce a full and final release of a claim from a policyholder when only a partial payment has been made, unless the payment is a compromise settlement of a doubtful or disputed claim (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(6); 28 TAC section 21.203(13)); and
- (10) DEFENDANT committed the following unfair methods of competition or deceptive acts or practices in the business of insurance in violation of Texas Insurance Code and the Texas Administrative Code by:
  - (a) DEFENDANT made, issued or circulated or caused to be made, issued or circulated an estimate, illustration, circular or statement misrepresenting with respect to the policy issued or to be issued:
    - (i) the terms of the policy; and/or
    - (ii) the benefits or advantages promised by the policy.
  - (b) DEFENDANT made untrue statements of material facts (Tex. Ins. Code Ann. 541.060(a)(1); 28 TAC section 21.203(1));
  - (c) DEFENDANT failed to state a material fact necessary to make other statements made not misleading considering the circumstances under which statements were made; and
  - (d) DEFENDANT made statements in a manner that would mislead a reasonably prudent person to a false conclusion of material fact.
  - (e) DEFENDANT is refusing, failing, or unreasonably delaying a settlement

### C-0017-17-A

offer under applicable first-party coverage on the basis that other coverage may be available or that third parties are responsible for the damages suffered, except as may be specifically provided in the policy (Tex. Ins. Code Ann 541.060(a)(5); and 28 TAC section 21.203(11).

18. The conduct of DEFENDANT as described herein was a producing cause of damages to PLAINTIFF.

X. Breach of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

- 19. From and after the time the PLAINTIFF'S claims were presented to DEFENDANT, liability to pay the claims in accordance with the terms of insurance policies referenced above has been reasonably clear. Despite there being no basis whatsoever on which a reasonable insurance company would have relied to deny and/or delay payment for PLAINTIFF'S claims, DEFENDANT refused to accept the claims in totality and pay the PLAINTIFF as the policy required. At that time, DEFENDANT knew or should have known by the exercise of reasonable diligence that their liability was reasonably clear.
- 20. DEFENDANT failed to conduct a reasonable and proper inspection of the claims and refused to rely on the true facts, resorting instead to producing faulty, incomplete and biased reasons to avoid paying a valid claim. This constitutes failing to handle or process the PLAINTIFF'S claims in good faith, an affirmative duty placed on the DEFENDANT, by Texas Law. Through the actions described above, DEFENDANT breached their duty to deal fairly and in good faith with the PLAINTIFF. DEFENDANT'S breach was a proximate cause of the losses, expenses, and damages suffered by the PLAINTIFF for which he sues.

### C-0017-17-A

- XI. Texas Insurance Code 542, Subchapter B Delay in Payment
- 21. PLAINTIFF gave prompt notice of her claims to DEFENDANT and DEFENDANT engaged in unfair settlement claims practices as discussed above and denied and/or have delayed payment on PLAINTIFF'S claims. DEFENDANT'S investigation and reliance on reports and estimates from its adjusters and investigating adjusters has been "merely pretextual" and unreasonable. DEFENDANT'S minimal investigation and use of adjusters' reports was an "outcome oriented investigation". PLAINTIFF disputes the reliability of their investigative findings. DEFENDANT has failed to comply with the requirements of Chapter 542 listed herein:
  - (a) Failing to notify PLAINTIFF in writing, within 15 business days after receiving all of the items, statements, and forms required by the insurer to secure final proof of loss, of the acceptance or rejection of a claim; and/or
  - (b) Failing to pay PLAINTIFF'S claim within 60 days of receiving all of the items, statements, and forms required by the insurer to secure final proof of loss, of the acceptance or rejection of a claim; and
  - (c) Failing to request all of the items, statements and forms the DEFENDANT reasonably believed at the time would be required from PLAINTIFF to pay the claim within 15 days after receiving notice of the claim.
- 22. Pursuant to Texas Insurance Code Chapter 542, Subchapter B, PLAINTIFF is entitled to recover from DEFENDANT the statutory penalty of 18% per annum on all amounts due on PLAINTIFF'S claim, together with attorney's fees, for which he sues.

#### C-0017-17-A

XII.

- 23. PLAINTIFF allege that as to any terms, conditions, notices, or requests under the insurance contract, PLAINTIFF has substantially complied and/or is excused. In the alternative, PLAINTIFF makes the allegation of waiver and/or estoppels as to every defense or exclusion plead by DEFENDANT and furthermore would show that:
  - The clear and unambiguous language of the policy provides coverage for dwelling damage caused by windstorm and water damage, including the cost of access to fix the damaged areas. Any other construction of the language of the policy is void as against public policy;
  - Any other construction and its use by DEFENDANT violates section 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code and are void as against public policy;
  - Any other construction violates Art. 17.50 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code, is unconscionable, was procured by fraudulent inducement, and is void as against public policy;
  - Any other construction is otherwise void as against public policy, illegal, and volatiles state law and administrative rule and regulation;
  - 5. The adoption of any other construction constitutes wrongful or bad faith cancellation and/or refusal to renew a portion of PLAINTIFF'S predecessor policy with DEFENDANT In this regard, PLAINTIFF would show that a similar insurance policy was renewed uninterrupted for many years; and
  - 6. The adoption of any other construction constitutes conduct in violation of

#### C-0017-17-A

the laws of this state; including section 541 and 542 of Texas Insurance Code is void as against public policy.

24. If this Court finds any ambiguity in the policy, the rules of construction of such policies mandate the construction and interpretation urged by PLAINTIFF. In the alternative, DEFENDANT is judicially, administratively, or equitably stopped from denying PLAINTIFF'S construction of the policy coverage at issue. To the extent that the wording of such policy does not reflect the true intent of all parties thereto, PLAINTIFF pleads the doctrine of mutual mistake requiring reformation.

XIII.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, PLAINTIFF respectfully requests this Honorable Court for the following relief: That upon final hearing and trial hereof, this Honorable Court grant to the PLAINTIFF such relief as to which he may show herself justly entitled, either at law or in equity; either general or special, including declaratory judgment, judgment against the DEFENDANT for actual attorney's fees, cost of suit, mental anguish, statutory penalties, and prejudgment and post judgment interest, including judgment for additional damages and punitive damage under the facts set forth in this or any amended pleading, and for such other and further relief to which he may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

Frederick J. Castro

FREDERICK J. CASTRO FREDJCASTRO@GMAIL.COM STATE BAR NO. 24045628 1300 North Tenth Street, Suite 300 McAllen, TX 78501-4392 T 956.562.1570

## C-0017-17-A

F 877.876.9391 ATTORNEY IN CHARGE FOR PLAINTIFF HECTOR YADO

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas G. Rayfield
THOMAS G. RAYFIELD
ATTORNEY AT LAW
trayfield@sbcglobal.net
State Bar No. 16615825
1300 North Tenth Street, Suite 300
McAllen, TX 78501-4392
T (956) 994-1155
F (956) 994-1148
ASSISTING ATTORNEY FOR
PLAINTIFF, HECTOR YADO

DATE SINGLES TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

THOMAS G. RAYFIELD

Electronically Filed 1/30/2017 11:49:38 AM Hidalgo County District Clerks Reviewed By: Monica Valdez

THE ATRIUM BUILDING
1300 NORTH 10TH STREET, SUITE 300
MCALLEN, TEXAS 78501-4392

TELEPHONE: (956) 994-1155 FACSIMILE: (956) 994-1148 E-MAIL: Trayfield@aol.com

January 30, 2017

Hidalgo County Clerk's Office

Please be advised that the Original Petition without the service of citations were filed on January 3, 2017, as per the Clerk's office, am to send a letter head from our office and request said citations. Below are the cases we need citations prepared for. Please prepare only and our private processor will pick up citations.

RE: Cause No. C-0017-17-A; Hector Yado vs Palomar Specialty Insurance Co.; In the 92<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District Hidalgo County, Texas.

RE: Cause No. Hector Moncivais vs. Foremost Lloyds of Texas; In the 206<sup>th</sup> Judicial District Court of Hidalgo County, Texas.

RE: Causes No. C-0019-17-I; Juan M. Pedraza & Yolanda Tovar vs. Foremost Lloyds of Texas; In the 398th Judicial District Court in Hidalgo County, Texas.

Sincerely

Angelin Flores

Assistant to Thomas G. Rayfield

A Control of dry

A Control of dry

Control of

#### Greetings:

Attached you will find the service requested.

May this serve to inform you that service has been issued. Please proceed in attaching any file stamped documents that need to be served as stated on your issued service.

Please note, the link you are about to open is a "live link" notification. Please ensure you are printing the service which includes our clerk's signature and the State Seal. If you are opening a document without the official certifications (signature and seal) please close the window until the document is processed accordingly. This may take a few minutes.

\*When serving protective orders, please DO NOT serve the TCIC form to respondent.

We appreciate the opportunity to assist you. Please contact our office if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

Laura Hinojosa

Hidalgo County District Clerk

Laura 2/inojosa

## C-0017-17-A 92ND DISTRICT COURT, HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

## CITATION

### STATE OF TEXAS

**NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:** You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty (20) days after you were served with this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you.

PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY BY SERVING REGISTERED AGENT, CT CORPORATION SYSTEM 1999 BRYAN ST STE 900 DALLAS TX 75201-3140

You are hereby commanded to appear by filing a written answer to the **PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION** on or before 10:00 o'clock a.m. on the Monday next after the expiration of twenty (20) days after the date of service hereof, before the **Honorable Luis M. Singleterry, 92nd District Court** of Hidalgo County, Texas at the Courthouse at 100 North Closner, Edinburg, Texas 78539.

Said petition was filed on this the 3rd day of January, 2017 and a copy of same accompanies this citation. The file number and style of said suit being C-0017-17-A, HECTOR GARZA VS. PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE CO

Said Petition was filed in said court by THOMAS G. RAYFIELD, 1300 N 10TH ST STE 300 MCALLEN TX 78501-4392.

The nature of the demand is fully shown by a true and correct copy of the petition accompanying this citation and made a part hereof.

The officer executing this writ shall promptly serve the same according to requirements of law, and the mandates thereof, and make due return as the law directs.

ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court at Edinburg, Texas on this the 31st day of January, 2017.

LAURA HINOJOSA, DISTRICT CLERK 100 N. CLOSNER, EDINBURG, TEXAS HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

MONICA VALDEZ, DEPUTY CLERK

# C-0017-17-A OFFICER'S RETURN

Came to hand on of		, 201	l at	o'cloc	km.	and
executed in						
Defendant in person, a true	e copy of th	is citation,	upon which	ch I endorse	ed the date	e of
delivery to said Defend	dant togethe	er with	the accon	npanying	copy of	the
	(pe	tition) at th	e following	times and	places, to-v	vit:
	2			-		
NAME	DATE	TIME	PLACE			
And not executed as to the	lefendant.					the
diligence used in finding	said defenda	nt, being:		** 1 A U.S	and	the
cause of failure to execu	ite this pro	cess is:			and	the
information received as	to the	whereabo	uts of s	said defer	ndant, be	ing:
	. I actually	and neces	sarily trave	led	miles in	the
service of this citation, in ad	dition to any	other miles	age I may h	ave traveled	in the ser	vice
of other process in the same						
Fees: serving copy(s) \$_						
miles\$_						
DEPUTY				ovine vo nimeniani		
COMPLETE IF Y					RIFF,	
	ABLE OR		CONTRACTOR DOSESSES			
In accordance to Rule 107,						
serve a citation must sign t	ne return. I	t the return	i is signed	by a person	n other tha	n a
sheriff, constable or the cler	A return of	t, the return	must eithe	er be verifie	d or be sig	ned
under the penalty of perjury. A return signed under penalty of perjury must contain the statement below in substantially the following form:						
statement below in substanti	any me fono	wing form:				
"My name is				my date	of birth	io
and the	address is			my date		
declare under penalty of perj			true and co	orrect	,ai	u I
parametry or port		0.0801118 10	mao ana oc	ATCCL.		
EXECUTED inC	ounty. State	of Texas.	on the	day of		(65)
201	,			_ 0) 01 _		,
Declarant"						
	1224 HO 02/9490					
If Certified by the Supreme		exas				
Date of Expiration / SCH N	nmber					



Greetings:

Attached you will find the service requested.

May this serve to inform you that service has been issued. Please proceed in attaching any file stamped documents that need to be served as stated on your issued service.

Please note, the link you are about to open is a "live link" notification. Please ensure you are printing the service which includes our clerk's signature and the State Seal. If you are opening a document without the official certifications (signature and seal) please close the window until the document is processed accordingly. This may take a few minutes.

\*When serving protective orders, please DO NOT serve the TCIC form to respondent.

We appreciate the opportunity to assist you. Please contact our office if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

Laura Hinojosa

Hidalgo County District Clerk

Laura 2/inojosa

ty, leads

Onetda Lamas

DEPUTY DISTRICT

CLERK SUPERVISOR